



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/506,650	02/17/2000	Kazuhiro Hara	SONY-T0189	6824
29175	7590	02/24/2005		EXAMINER
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC				TRAN, THIEN D
P. O. BOX 1135				
CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2665	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/506,650	HARA ET AL. 
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thien D Tran	2665

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 October 2004.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-6,9 and 10 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 7,8,11 and 12 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: ____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-6, 9, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being Willis et al by (U.S Patent No. 6385,647 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Willis discloses a communication method on-the Internet using an unidirectional communication line (col.10 lines 13-16), comprising the steps of: setting a route for receiving IP datagram to be transmitted to said communication line at the side for transmitting data to said communication line (col.18 lines 15-25); and

setting another route for realizing a virtual communication route from the receiving side to said transmitting side on said communication line, for carrying out bi-directional communication (col.20 lines 1-15, figure 15).

Regarding claims 2, 4 Willis discloses that communication line is the communication line via a satellite (col.17 lines 50-60).

Regarding claim 3, Willis discloses communication apparatus of a bridge type for carrying out communication using an IP protocol over an unidirectional communication line, comprising:

a first interface for receiving IP datagram to be transmitted to said uni-directional communication line to the satellite (col.30 lines 30-50); and

a second interface for realizing a virtual communication route from the receiving side to said communication apparatus on said uni-directional communication line for carrying out bidirectional communication through internet (figure 15).

Regarding claim 5, Willis discloses a communication method for connecting a second communication line capable of bi directional communication to bridge type, col.5 lines 50-60, transmitting means for transmitting data to a first satellite uni-directional communication line, figure 1, thereby virtually carrying out the bi-directional communication over said first communication line through internet, figure 1, comprising the step of:

determining a destination of a packet inputted to said transmitting means through a predetermined interface, then determining which network the packet should be transferred to in accordance with the determined destination of the packet, and then

transferring the packet through a predetermined interface only when transfer is necessary. See col.19 lines 1-20.

Regarding claims 6, 10, Willis discloses that transmitting means automatically detects addresses group for multicast (of nodes) connected to the network at the transmitting side (col.11 lines 15-50).

Regarding claim 9, Willis discloses communication apparatus, which is designed as bridge type, col.5 lines 50-60, transmitting means for transmitting data to a first uni-directional communication line to satellite, figure 15, comprising:

an interface connected to a second communication line capable of bi-directional communication through internet, figure 15; and

central processing unit mean (control means), for determining a destination of a packet inputted through a predetermined interface, then determining which network the packet is transferred to in accordance with the destination, and then executing transfer processing only when transfer is necessary (col.19 lines 15-45).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 7, 8, 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Thien Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (571) 272-3155. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

5. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

Patent Examiner

Thien Tran

DUC HO
PRIMARY EXAMINER



2-17-05